

## Psitos, Aristotelis

---

**From:** Williams, Howard  
**Sent:** Thursday, July 06, 2006 8:50 AM  
**To:** Psitos, Aristotelis  
**Subject:** RE: search/transfer?

341/58,59 would be the only search area in class 341. You mention claim 4; those seem to be the same RLL constraints but different synchronization codes (or parts thereof).

Overall this case does not seem like a 341 case because it seems more to the sync code(s) and their placement on the recording disc. As such I would not expend a lot of resources in 341.

Regards,  
Howard Williams

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Psitos, Aristotelis  
**Sent:** Thursday, July 06, 2006 08:24  
**To:** Williams, Howard  
**Subject:** FW: search/transfer?

Good morning/ afternoon Mr. Williams , I need assistance wrt efw sn 10/673402 - seems to be drawn to a scheme of (recording is recited/claimed) two

different? rll codes for the sync. info -

see claim 4 in particular. Although the case is presently in 369 - recording, I am not that well versed in the encoding rll (d,k) criteria. Is this case better handled in 341 ?, either for a xfer or

at the least a field of search. If there is another area you think should be queried/asked - please let me know.  
Thanks A. (Tely) Psitos - au 2627.

## **Psitos, Aristotelis**

---

**From:** Fan, Chieh  
**Sent:** Thursday, July 06, 2006 4:25 PM  
**To:** Psitos, Aristotelis  
**Subject:** RE: search/transfer?/ifw 10/673402

I don't think this is a 375 case.

Possible search areas in 375 may be 375/253, 365-368.  
Further, 341/59 is related to run length limited codes. Thanks.

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Psitos, Aristotelis  
**Sent:** Thursday, July 06, 2006 9:29 AM  
**To:** Fan, Chieh  
**Subject:** FW: search/transfer?/ifw 10/673402

Good morning Chieh - need your assistance,

wrt efw sn 10/673402 - seems to be drawn to a scheme of (recording is recited/claimed) two

different? rll codes for the sync. info -

see claim 4 in particular. Although the case is presently in 369 - recording, I am not that well versed in the encoding rll (d,k) criteria. Is this case better handled in 375 ?, for a xfer or

at the least a field of search. If there is another area you think should be queried/asked - please let me know. Thanks A. (Tely) Psitos - au 2627.